

**OIPE**  
AUG 26 2003  
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Form PTO-1449 (Modified)		Atty Docket No.	Serial No.
		BENK.P0107US	10/614,520
		Applicant:	
		John C. Benko	
(Use several sheets if necessary)		Filing Date	Group <i>3634</i> July 7, 2003
			UNKNOWN

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-class	Filing Date if Appropriate
GSS	659,117	10/2/1900	White et al.	—	—	
✓	2,874,819	02/24/59	Nutter	—	—	
	3,421,260	01/14/69	Dickinson	—	—	
	3,497,998	03/03/70	Thomas	—	—	
	4,014,133	03/29/77	Brown	—	—	
	4,041,646	08/16/77	Mittag	—	—	
	4,150,510	04/24/79	Harrison et al.	—	—	
	4,422,264	12/27/83	Harris	—	—	
	4,538,379	09/03/85	Vargo	—	—	
	4,580,413	04/08/86	Klee	—	—	
	5,241,789	09/07/93	Vacelet	—	—	
	5,546,703	08/20/96	Conway	—	—	
	5,592,779	01/14/97	Conway	—	—	
✓	5,701,701	12/30/97	Desrosiers	—	—	
✓	5,709,050	01/20/98	Benko	—	—	
GSS	5,934,021	08/10/99	Conway	—	—	

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Sub-class	Translation
						Yes No

## OTHER ART

Examiner Initial	Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.
GSS	Product Review. Mezzanine Safeti-Gates, Inc. Prevent your workers from going over the edge... [online], undated [retrieved on 6/11/03]. Retrieved from the Internet: <URL: <a href="http://www.mezzaninesafetygates.com/safety.htm">http://www.mezzaninesafetygates.com/safety.htm</a>
GSS	Roly® Safeti-Gate (four page brochure), An Open and Shut Case for Plant Mezzanine Safety, date: 2000.

EXAMINER <i>Gregory J. Miller</i>	DATE CONSIDERED <i>8/2/05</i>
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

## Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449 (Modified)

The identification of any reference is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that such publication, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given reference may have a later effective date than first seems apparent or the reference may have an effective date which can be anticipated. The "prior art" status of any reference is a matter to be resolved during prosecution. Z:\SEC155\WRBENK\P0107US.IDS.wpd (IDS1449.FRM) (2/97)